

77TH IIRB CONGRESS, 11-12/2/2020, BRUSSELS

POSTER PROGRAMME



1 Agronomy

Nutrient supply

- 1.1 G. Campagna, M. Sandona Sugar beet and other crops in rotation sustainability cultivation (Carbon footprint) in Italy
- 1.2 P. Götze, H.-J. Koch Effect of crop rotation and removal of beet leaves and tops on soil organic carbon stocks in the crop rotation experiment at Harste
- 1.3 G. Bodner, H. Eigner, D. Horn, S. Geyer, K. Keiblinger EUF soil extraction for the determination of structure-relevant organic carbon fractions
- 1.4 A. Stracke, H.-J. Koch Above- and below-ground biomass and N uptake of catch crops affecting soil N_{min} over winter
- 1.5 D. Horn, G. Müller Challenges of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization advice for sugar beets with regard to the implementation of the EU Nitrates Directive
- 1.6 M. Zavanella, M. Cenacchi, D. Rosini, G. Campagna Survey on soil fertility in the Coprob beet communities: second contribution
- 1.7 J. Ekelöf Decreasing soil P and K reserves – a hidden threat to improvements in sugar beet yields
- 1.8 Å. Olsson Nyström, L. Persson, J. Blomquist Structure lime and ground limestone in sugar beet rotations
- 1.9 S. Malmilehto Structural liming in Finland
- 1.10 S. Muurinen Survey of sulfur status of sugar beet in Finland

Tillage

- 1.11 J. Przybył, N. Mioduszevska, I. Kowalik Analysis of simplified tillage systems in sugar beet production in the aspect of yield quantity and quality
- 1.12 N. Mioduszevska, J. Przybył, K. Pilarski Analysis of simplified tillage systems in sugar beet production in the aspect of soil physical properties
- 1.13 R. Duval, V. Tomis Soil compaction in Northern France sugar beet crop systems: a collaborative study to give a clear picture of the situation and identify solutions

Seed quality, sowing and early establishment

- 1.14 S. Ducournau, A. Wauters Testing *Beta vulgaris* seed quality in laboratory to predict field emergence
- 1.15 J. Long, R. Marcinek, J. Brooks Improving young plant growth with seed technologies
- 1.16 H. Ebmeyer, C. Hoffmann Reasons for the strong effect of drought stress in young sugar beet plants
- 1.17 L. Tillier The impact of canopy architecture on radiation use efficiency and yield potential of sugar beet
- 1.18 C. Hoffmann Can yield of sugar beet varieties be assessed by the leaf canopy?
- 1.19 M. Zavanella, G. Campagna, A. Vacchi, A. Fabbri Feasibility study of autumn sowing in the Coprob districts (Italy)

Organically grown sugar beet

- 1.20 M. Cenacchi, G. Campagna, M. Zavanella, D. Rosini Organic sugar beet cultivation in Italy – first experience on field
- 1.21 A. Lorriaux, B. Jacobs, M. Brandt, B. Dequiedt, B. Vandamme Challenges and opportunities of organic sugar beet seed production for SESVanderHave
- 1.22 O. Nielsen Three-year experience with organic sugar beets

Communication/Benchmarking

- 1.23 C. Roß, K. Trimpler, N. Stockfisch Communication of data from a farm survey
- 1.24 N. Stockfisch, C. Roß, A.-K. Mahlein Comparison of indicators for pesticide use intensity

77TH IIRB CONGRESS, 11-12/2/2020, BRUSSELS

POSTER PROGRAMME



INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE
OF SUGAR BEET RESEARCH

Digital technologies

- 1.25 F. Joudelat, D. Dutartre, S. Madec, E. David Measuring vegetative heterogeneity of sugar beet varieties with drone and deep learning phenotyping
- 1.26 T. Ekblad Automatic image analysis of sugar beet – a deep learning approach
- 1.27 A. Barreto, S. Paulus, A.-K. Mahlein Proof of concept for the digital visual rating of *Cercospora* leaf spots using multispectral UAV images
- 1.28 G. Campagna, A. Fabbri, M. Bassi, A. Bresolin Monitoring water-nutritional and NDVI on sugar beet in Italy
- 1.29 U. Wilczek Development of a sensor system for low-damage sugar beet harvest – state and perspectives

Harvest, storage, and beet quality

- 1.30 A. Andrusiak, Z. Wszyński Evaluation of sugar beet yield depending on the method and harvesting date
- 1.31 C. Kenter, E. Ladewig Storability as a varietal characteristic of sugar beet?
- 1.32 M. Leijdekkers Experiences with mechanical ventilation of sugar beet storage clamps in the Netherlands
- 1.33 S. Malmilehto Fleece cover for sugar beets. Risk or possibility?
- 1.34 N. Nause, C. Hoffmann Cambium rings and cell wall composition of sugar beet genotypes differing in root strength
- 1.35 W. English In season texture analysis of sugar beets using a handheld penetrometer
- 1.36 M. Nilsson Pressure mapping of sugar beets
- 1.37 E. Hilscher, H. Narten, S. Meldau Opportunity to improve sugar beet quality lab sample measurement and analysis quality using the BEETROMETER™

2 Pest, disease and weed challenges

Root rot diseases

- 2.1 L. Persson Measurement of *Aphanomyces* root rot potential in soil
- 2.2 J. Vegas, E. De Bruyne, I. Adetunji, O. Amand Genetic study of charcoal rot (*Macrophomina phaseolina*) resistance in sugar beet using a diverse panel of commercial and non-commercial hybrids
- 2.3 V. Stojšin, D. Budakov, Ž. Čurčić, A. Stankov, T. Dudaš, F. Bagi, N. Nagl Influence of NPK mineral nutrition and cultivar on sugar beet root rot
- 2.4 A. Stankov, N. Nagl, V. Stojšin, D. Budakov, F. Bagi, T. Dudaš, M. Isakov Characterization of *Trichoderma* spp. for antagonistic activity against charcoal root rot *Macrophomina phaseolina* from sugar beet

Fungal leaf diseases

- 2.5 D. Budakov, V. Stojšin, Z. Curcic, T. Dudas, A. Stankov, F. Bagi, M. Grahovac Influence of sugar beet cultivar and NKP nutrition on *Cercospora* leaf spot
- 2.6 Ž. Čurčić, D. Budakov, A. Stankov, K. Taški-Ajduković, N. Nagl, V. Stojšin Effect of different sowing dates on *Cercospora beticola* infection level
- 2.7 M. Vanderstukken, J. Sels, O. Amand, D. Boehm, H. Tschoep An integrated breeding approach towards *Cercospora* resistant varieties – a perspective from SESVanderHave
- 2.8 M. Khan, G. Campagna Strategic management of *C. beticola* using improved resistant cultivars of sugar beet
- 2.9 M. Müllender, M. Varrelmann, G. Stammler Possible causes and mechanisms for alterations in the sensitivity of *Cercospora beticola* towards DMI fungicides
- 2.10 T.M. Heick, A. Fejer Justesen, L. Nistrup Jørgensen, A.L. Hansen Disease control and management of Qol resistance of sugar beet powdery mildew (*Erysiphe betae*) in Scandinavia
- 2.11 H. Yvanne Can we harness disease resistance by association directly in wild sea beet?

77TH IIRB CONGRESS, 11-12/2/2020, BRUSSELS

POSTER PROGRAMME



INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE
OF SUGAR BEET RESEARCH

Beet pests

- 2.12 G. Campagna, A. Vacchi *Lixus junci* and *Conorrhinchus mendicus* diffusion on sugar beet in Po Valley and control strategy
- 2.13 M. Mayrhofer, F. Kempl, H. Eigner Sugar-beet weevil (*Bothynoderes punctiventris*) – Investigations on the efficacy of insecticides in model trials
- 2.14 Z. Klukowski, J. Piszczek Biological aspects of Sugar Beet Weevil control – Polish experience of 2014-2019 outbreak
- 2.15 G. Malatesta, W. Huet Increase of the weevil population in France
- 2.16 A. Olsson Nyström Free living nematodes and root gall nematodes in sugar beet

Growing sugar beet in a post-neonic world

- 2.17 C. Royer, C. Gouwie, F. Boyer, F. Maupas The aftermath of the neonicotinoid ban in France: first lessons and new perspectives
- 2.18 F. Kempl, K. Wechselberger Efficacy of seed treatments with and without neonicotinoids
- 2.19 N. Wynant, I. Munnery, J. Sels, H. Liesse, G. Willems, J. Vegas, E. de Bruyne, O. Amand, H. Tschoep An integrated breeding approach to develop insect tolerant varieties at SESVanderHave
- 2.20 L. Frijters, E. Raaijmakers, L. de Zinger Testing alternative pesticides and monitoring systems for the control of pygmy mangold beetles (*Atomaria linearis*) under field conditions
- 2.21 K. Antoons, F. Vancutsem Optimizing of pest management in Belgium thanks to the observation and warning network
- 2.22 R.H.M. Wouters, R. Biello, S.T. Mugford, E. de Bruyne, F.-J. Bulthuis, I. Munnery, R. Robinson, N. Wynant, G. Willems, D.G.O. Saunders, S.A. Hogenhout, T.C. Mathers Global diversity of the sugar beet aphid pest *Myzus persicae*
- 2.23 E. Raaijmakers, F.-J. Bulthuis, N. Wynant, E. De Bruyne, J. Luimes Monitoring of aphids in sugar beet fields and trial fields, a basic tool to understand virus yellow epidemics in the post neonic era
- 2.24 R. Hossain, W. Menzel, M. Varrelmann Virus yellows in sugar beet – biology, occurrence and influence on yield parameters
- 2.25 D. Budakov, V. Stojsin, Z. Curcic, T. Dudas, N. Nagl, F. Bagi, M. Grahovac Sugar beet virus diseases in Serbia
- 2.26 Ž. Čurčić, Ž. Milovac, K. Taški-Ajduković, A. Stankov, A. Radonjić, O. Petrović-Obradović, B.-L. Lennefors Beet Yellow Virus a possible threat to sugar beet production in Serbia?

Virus resistance breeding, variety testing

- 2.27 L. James A novel pre-breeding strategy to reduce dependence on insecticides for virus yellows control in sugar beet – a final update
- 2.28 A. Wright, M. Stevens, E. Murchie, D. Sparkes Phenotyping varietal responses of sugar beet to virus yellows, beet cyst nematode and foliar diseases
- 2.29 C. Nilsson, T. Kraft, B.-L. Lennefors Successful breeding for resistance/tolerance to virus yellows at MariboHilleshög
- 2.30 K. Okazaki, Y. Kuroda, K. Takashino, H. Matsuhira, S. Ueda Resistance breeding to virus yellows in Japan
- 2.31 N. Behnke, W. Beyer Breeding for virus yellows resistance – a new success story?
- 2.32 Y. Kuroda, K. Okazaki, K. Takashino QTL analysis of resistance to *Beet leaf yellowing virus* (BLYV)

- 2.33 M. Rekoske, H. Friehe, J. Miller Betaseed: 50 Years of innovation – a company looking to the future
- 2.34 C. Kenter, P. Götze, E. Ladewig Effects of sample size and head rows on the precision of variety trials in sugar beet
- 2.35 A. Wauters, K. Antoons Field testing for BMVYV-tolerance in sugar beet with different inoculation techniques
- Other pests and disease issues**
- 2.36 L. Potyondi Challenges of non-renewal of approval of pesticides in Hungarian sugar beet production
- 2.37 L. Holmquist, S. Mittler, J. Fernando Gil, R. Johnsson Syndrome Basses Richesses (SBR) in Sugar beet – crop robustness as a potential element for control
- 2.38 A. Wauters Silvering disease in sugar beet caused by *Curtobacterium flaccumfaciens* pv. *betae* in Belgian sugar beet trials
- Rhizomania**
- 2.39 C. Chiodi, C. Broccanello, P. Stevanato, G. Campagna, L. Treu, M. Moro, G. Bertoldo, M.C. Della Lucia, S. Ravi, L. Mareto, S. Campanaro, G. Concheri, A. Squartini Bacterial community composition in a soil carrying a resistance-breaking strain of the rhizomania virus BNYVV in comparison to standard soils
- 2.40 S. Liebe, E. Maiss, M. Varrelmann Application of a reverse genetic system for *Beet necrotic yellow vein virus* to study Rz1 resistance breaking in sugar beet
- 2.41 V. Wetzl, M. Varrelmann Rz2 – a plant anti *Beet necrotic yellow vein virus* resistance protein derived from *Beta vulgaris* targets the viral movement-protein TGB1 as avirulence gene
- Weed control**
- 2.42 D. Laufer, E. Ladewig Weed control in sugar beet without the active substances desmedipham and phenmedipham
- 2.43 S. Geyer, F. Kempl, H. Eigner Weed control missing des- and phenmedipham
- 2.44 S. van der Heijden, E. Raaijmakers, I. Wijgergangs Effectiveness of ALS-herbicides registered for cereals to control ALS-tolerant and ALS-non-tolerant weed beets
- 2.45 C. Stibbe, M. Klie, W. Wegener, J. Wiessner CONVISO® SMART – experiences of the first two years in practice
- 2.46 D. Hyndriks, X. Sauvenier, N. De Temmerman Performance of CONVISO® SMART sugar beet varieties under different weed control strategies
- 2.47 J. Kimmel Experiences with CONVISO® SMART technology in field trials in Hungary
- 2.48 M. Palomäki Farmers' opinions about the CONVISO® SMART system in Finland
- 2.49 M. Palomäki Tips of the use of CONVISO® SMART in Finland
- 2.50 M. Khan Experiences and lessons learned from a decade of using herbicide tolerant sugar beet in the USA
- 2.51 C. Royer Weeds resistant to chemical herbicide
- 2.52 R. Euben How to use drift reducing spray nozzles and maintaining good weed control