

1 Agronomy

Nutrient supply

- | | | |
|----|--|---|
| 01 | G. Campagna, M. Sandona | Sugar beet and other crops in rotation sustainability cultivation (Carbon footprint) in Italy |
| 02 | P. Götze, H.-J. Koch | Effect of crop rotation and removal of beet leaves and tops on soil organic carbon stocks in the crop rotation experiment at Harste |
| 03 | G. Bodner, H. Eigner, D. Horn, S. Geyer, K. Keiblinger | EUF soil extraction for the determination of structure-relevant organic carbon fractions |
| 04 | A. Stracke, H.-J. Koch | Above- and below-ground biomass and N uptake of catch crops affecting soil N _{min} over winter |
| 05 | D. Horn, G. Müller | Challenges of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization advice for sugar beets with regard to the implementation of the EU Nitrates Directive |
| 06 | M. Zavanella, M. Cenacchi, D. Rosini, G. Campagna | Survey on soil fertility in the Coprob beet communities: second contribution |
| 07 | J. Ekelöf | Decreasing soil P and K reserves – a hidden threat to improvements in sugar beet yields |
| 08 | Å. Olsson Nyström, L. Persson, J. Blomquist | Structure lime and ground limestone in sugar beet rotations |
| 09 | S. Malmilehto | Structural liming in Finland |
| 10 | S. Muurinen | Survey of sulfur status of sugar beet in Finland |

Tillage

- | | | |
|----|--|---|
| 11 | J. Przybył, N. Mioduszevska, I. Kowalik | Analysis of simplified tillage systems in sugar beet production in the aspect of yield quantity and quality |
| 12 | N. Mioduszevska, J. Przybył, K. Pilarski | Analysis of simplified tillage systems in sugar beet production in the aspect of soil physical properties |
| 13 | R. Duval, V. Tomis | Soil compaction in northern France sugar beet crop systems: a collaborative study to give a clear picture of the situation and identify solutions |

Seed quality, sowing and early establishment

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 14 | S. Ducournau, A. Wauters | Testing <i>Beta vulgaris</i> seed quality in laboratory to predict field emergence |
| 15 | J. Long, R. Marcinek, J. Brooks | Improving young plant growth with seed technologies |
| 16 | H. Ebmeyer, C. Hoffmann | Reasons for the strong effect of drought stress in young sugar beet plants |
| 17 | L. Tillier | The impact of canopy architecture on radiation use efficiency and yield potential of sugar beet |
| 18 | C. Hoffmann | Can yield of sugar beet varieties be assessed by the leaf canopy? |
| 19 | M. Zavanella, G. Campagna, A. Vacchi, A. Fabbri | Feasibility study of autumn sowing in the Coprob districts (Italy) |
| 20 | M. Aghaei, M. Honarvar, M. Bazrafshan | Autumn sugar beet cultivation in Iran: challenges and opportunities |

Organically grown sugar beet

- | | | |
|----|---|--|
| 21 | A. Lorriaux, B. Jacobs, M. Brandt, B. Dequiedt, B. Vandamme | Challenges and opportunities of organic sugar beet seed production for SESVanderHave |
| 22 | M. Cenacchi, G. Campagna, M. Zavanella, D. Rosini | Organic sugar beet cultivation in Italy – first experience on field |
| 23 | O. Nielsen | Three-year experience with organic sugar beets |

Communication/Benchmarking

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|--|
| 24 | C. Roß, K. Trimpler, N. Stockfisch | Communication of data from a farm survey |
| 25 | N. Stockfisch, C. Roß, A.-K. Mahlein | Comparison of indicators for pesticide use intensity |

Digital technologies

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 26 | F. Joudelat, D. Dutartre, S. Madec, E. David | Measuring vegetative heterogeneity of sugar beet varieties with drone and deep learning phenotyping |
| 27 | T. Ekblad | Automatic image analysis of sugar beet – a deep learning approach |
| 28 | G. Campagna, A. Fabbri, M. Bassi, A. Bresolin | Monitoring water-nutritional and NDVI on sugar beet in Italy |
| 29 | U. Wilczek | Development of a sensor system for low-damage sugar beet harvest – state and perspectives |

Harvest, storage, and beet quality

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------|--|
| 30 | A. Andrusiak, Z. Wyszynski | Evaluation of sugar beet yield depending on the method and harvesting date |
| 31 | C. Kenter, E. Ladewig | Storability as a varietal characteristic of sugar beet? |
| 32 | M. Leijdekkers | Experiences with mechanical ventilation of sugar beet storage clamps in the Netherlands |
| 33 | S. Malmilehto | Fleece cover for sugar beets. Risk or possibility? |
| 34 | N. Nause, C. Hoffmann | Cambium rings and cell wall composition of sugar beet genotypes differing in root strength |
| 35 | W. English | In season texture analysis of sugar beets using a handheld penetrometer |
| 36 | M. Nilsson | Pressure mapping of sugar beets |
| 37 | E. Hilscher, H. Narten, S. Meldau | Opportunity to improve sugar beet quality lab sample measurement and analysis quality using the BEETROMETER™ |

2 Pest, disease and weed challenges

Root rot diseases

- | | | |
|----|---|--|
| 38 | L. Persson | Measurement of <i>Aphanomyces</i> root rot potential in soil |
| 39 | J. Vegas, E. De Bruyne, I. Adetunji, O. Amand | Genetic study of charcoal rot (<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>) resistance in sugar beet using a diverse panel of commercial and non-commercial hybrids |
| 40 | V. Stojšin, D. Budakov, Ž. Čurčić, A. Stankov, T. Dudaš, F. Bagi, N. Nagl | Influence of NPK mineral nutrition and cultivar on sugar beet root rot |
| 41 | A. Stankov, N. Nagl, V. Stojšin, D. Budakov, F. Bagi, T. Dudaš, M. Isakov | Characterization of <i>Trichoderma</i> spp. for antagonistic activity against charcoal root rot <i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i> from sugar beet |

Fungal leaf diseases

- | | | |
|----|---|--|
| 42 | D. Budakov, V. Stojšin, Z. Curcic, T. Dudas, A. Stankov, F. Bagi, M. Grahovac | Influence of sugar beet cultivar and NKP nutrition on <i>Cercospora</i> leaf spot |
| 43 | Ž. Čurčić, D. Budakov, A. Stankov, K. Taški-Ajduković, N. Nagl, V. Stojšin | Effect of different sowing dates on <i>Cercospora beticola</i> infection level |
| 44 | M. Vanderstukken, J. Sels, O. Amand, D. Boehm, H. Tschoep | An integrated breeding approach towards <i>Cercospora</i> resistant varieties – a perspective from SESVanderHave |
| 45 | M. Khan, G. Campagna | Strategic management of <i>C. beticola</i> using improved resistant cultivars of sugar beet |

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 46 | A. Barreto, S. Paulus, A.-K. Mahlein | Proof of concept for the digital visual rating of <i>Cercospora</i> leaf spots using multispectral UAV images |
| 47 | M. Müllender, M. Varrelmann, G. Stammler | Possible causes and mechanisms for alterations in the sensitivity of <i>Cercospora beticola</i> towards DMI fungicides |
| 48 | T.M. Heick, A. Fejer Justesen, L. Nistrup Jørgensen, A.L. Hansen | Disease control and management of Qol resistance of sugar beet powdery mildew (<i>Erysiphe betae</i>) in Scandinavia |

Beet pests

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------|--|
| 49 | G. Campagna, A. Vacchi | <i>Lixus junci</i> and <i>Conorrhinchus mendicus</i> diffusion on sugar beet in Po Valley and control strategy |
| 50 | M. Mayrhofer, F. Kempl, H. Eigner | Sugar-beet weevil (<i>Bothynoderes punctiventris</i>) – Investigations on the efficacy of insecticides in model trials |
| 51 | Z. Klukowski, J. Piszczek | Biological aspects of Sugar Beet Weevil control – Polish experience of 2014-2019 outbreak |
| 52 | G. Malatesta, W. Huet | Increase of the weevil population in France |
| 53 | A. Olsson Nyström | Free living nematodes and root gall nematodes in sugar beet |

Growing sugar beet in a post-neonic world

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 54 | C. Royer, C. Gouwie, F. Boyer, F. Maupas | The aftermath of the neonicotinoid ban in France: first lessons and new perspectives |
| 55 | F. Kempl, K. Wechselberger | Efficacy of seed treatments with and without Neonicotinoids |
| 56 | N. Wynant, I. Munnery, J. Sels, H. Liesse, G. Willems, J. Vegas, E. de Bruyne, O. Amand, H. Tschoep | An integrated breeding approach to develop insect tolerant varieties at SESVanderHave |
| 57 | L. Frijters, E. Raaijmakers, L. de Zinger | Testing alternative pesticides and monitoring systems for the control of pygmy mangold beetles (<i>Atomaria linearis</i>) under field conditions |
| 58 | K. Antoons, F. Vancutsem | Optimizing of pest management in Belgium thanks to the observation and warning network |
| 59 | R.H.M. Wouters, R. Biello, S.T. Mugford, E. de Bruyne, F.-J. Bulthuis, I. Munnery, R. Robinson, N. Wynant, G. Willems, D.G.O. Saunders, S.A. Hogenhout, T.C. Mathers | Global diversity of the sugar beet aphid pest <i>Myzus persicae</i> |
| 60 | E. Raaijmakers, F.-J. Bulthuis, N. Wynant, E. De Bruyne, J. Luimes | Monitoring of aphids in sugar beet fields and trial fields, a basic tool to understand virus yellow epidemics in the post neonic era |
| 61 | L. de Zinger, E. Raaijmakers | Virus yellows host status of weeds and green manure crops transmitted by <i>Myzus persicae</i> |
| 62 | R. Hossain, W. Menzel, M. Varrelmann | Virus yellows in sugar beet – biology, occurrence and influence on yield parameters |
| 63 | D. Budakov, V. Stojšin, Z. Curcic, T. Dudas, N. Nagl, F. Bagi, M. Grahovac | Sugar beet virus diseases in Serbia |
| 64 | Ž. Čurčić, Ž. Milovac, K. Taški-Ajduković, A. Stankov, A. Radonjić, O. Petrović-Obradović, B.L. Lennefors | Beet Yellow Virus a possible threat to sugar beet production in Serbia? |

Virus resistance breeding, variety testing

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 65 | L. James | A novel pre-breeding strategy to reduce dependence on insecticides for virus yellows control in sugar beet – A final update |
| 66 | A. Wright, M. Stevens, E. Murchie, D. Sparkes | Phenotyping varietal responses of sugar beet to virus yellows, beet cyst nematode and foliar diseases |

- | | | |
|----|--|---|
| 67 | C. Nilsson, T. Kraft, B.-L. Lennefors | Successful breeding for resistance/tolerance to virus yellows at MariboHilleshög |
| 68 | K. Okazaki, Y. Kuroda, K. Takashino, H. Matsuhira, S. Ueda | Resistance breeding to virus yellows in Japan |
| 69 | Y. Kuroda, K. Okazaki, K. Takashino | QTL analysis of resistance to Beet leaf yellowing virus (BLYV) |
| 70 | N. Behnke, W. Beyer | Breeding for virus yellows resistance – a new success story? |
| 71 | M. Rekoske, H. Friehe, J. Miller | Betaseed: 50 Years of innovation – a company looking to the future |
| 72 | C. Kenter, P. Götze, E. Ladewig | Effects of sample size and head rows on the precision of variety trials in sugar beet |

Other pests and disease issues

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 73 | L. Potyondi | Challenges of non-renewal of approval of pesticides in Hungarian sugar beet production |
| 74 | L. Holmquist, S. Mittler, J. Fernando Gil, R. Johnsson | Syndrome Basse Richesses (SBR) in Sugar beet – crop robustness as a potential element for control |
| 75 | A. Wauters | Silvering disease in sugar beet caused by <i>Curtobacterium flaccumfaciens</i> pv. <i>betae</i> in Belgian sugar beet trials |

Rhizomania

- | | | |
|----|---|--|
| 76 | C. Chiodi, C. Broccanello, P. Stevanato, G. Campagna, L. Treu, M. Moro, G. Bertoldo, M.C. Della Lucia, S. Ravi, L. Maretto, S. Campanaro, G. Concheri, A. Squartini | Bacterial community composition in a soil carrying a resistance-breaking strain of the rhizomania virus BNYVV in comparison to standard soils |
| 77 | S. Liebe, E. Maiss, M. Varrelmann | Application of a reverse genetic system for Beet necrotic yellow vein virus to study Rz ₁ resistance breaking in sugar beet |
| 78 | V. Wetzel, M. Varrelmann | Rz ₂ – a plant anti Beet necrotic yellow vein virus resistance protein derived from <i>Beta vulgaris</i> targets the viral movement-protein TGB1 as avirulence gene |

Weed control

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 79 | D. Laufer, E. Ladewig | Weed control in sugar beet without the active substances desmedipham and phenmedipham |
| 80 | S. Geyer, F. Kempl, H. Eigner | Weed control missing des- and phenmedipham |
| 81 | S. van der Heijden, E. Raaijmakers, I. Wijgergangs | Effectiveness of ALS-herbicides registered for cereals to control ALS-tolerant and ALS-non-tolerant weed beets |
| 82 | C. Stibbe, M. Klie, W. Wegener | Conviso® Smart – experiences of the first two years in practice |
| 83 | D. Hyndrikx, X. Sauvenier, N. De Temmerman | Performance of Conviso® Smart sugar beet varieties under different weed control strategies |
| 84 | J. Kimmel | Experiences with Conviso Smart technology in field trials in Hungary |
| 85 | M. Palomäki | Farmers' opinions about the Conviso Smart system in Finland |
| 86 | M. Palomäki | Tips of the use of Conviso Smart in Finland |
| 87 | M. Khan | Experiences and lessons learned from a decade of using herbicide tolerant sugar beet in the USA |
| 88 | C. Royer | Weeds resistant to chemical herbicide |
| 89 | R. Euben | How to use drift reducing spray nozzles and maintaining good weed control |